

Mia Koponen¹, Ewan R. Pearson¹, Weihua Meng¹, Colin N. A. Palmer¹, David L. H. Bennett², Blair H. Smith¹, Lesley A. Colvin¹, Harry L. Hébert^{1*}

¹Division of Population Health and Genomics, School of Medicine, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK ²Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

*Email: h.hebert@dundee.ac.uk

Introduction

- Neuropathic pain (NP) is debilitating and difficult to manage.
- Only 45% of NP patients in the UK receiving a medication rate their treatment as satisfactory.
- Recommended first line medications for NP include gabapentinoids, tricyclic anti-depressants and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors.
- However, recent studies suggest that only ~40% of patients receive a recommended first line medication.
- The extent to which guidelines are being followed and who are most likely to receive a recommended anti-NP medication have not been fully explored.

Aims

- To determine the prevalence of being prescribed a recommended medication for NP.
- To identify the patient factors that are associated with being prescribed a recommended medication for NP (compared to being prescribed another medication recommended for non-NP).

Methods

Design

- Cross-sectional study of participants of Generation Scotland who completed a questionnaire on NP as part of DOLORisk Dundee (n=7,240).
- Participants with chronic NP and electronically linked to community prescribing data (May 2016 to September 2017) included (n=859).

Neuropathic Pain Definition

- Responding "yes" to <u>either</u>:
- a. "Are you currently troubled by pain or discomfort, either all the time or on and off?"
- b. "Are you currently taking medication specifically to treat pain or discomfort?"
- \blacktriangleright Pain duration \ge 3 months
- \blacktriangleright DN4 score \ge 3

A Cross-Sectional Study of Factors That Influence The Prescribing of Recommended Medications in **People with Neuropathic Pain**

Outcome

- Derived from NeuPSIG, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and Scottish
 - Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guidelines. \geq 21 prescription for a recommended medication
 - for NP (Rx_{NP}):
 - Gabapentin, pregabalin, carbamazepine, amitriptyline, imipramine, nortriptyline, clomipramine, desipramine, duloxetine, venlafaxine, strong opioids (including tramadol), lidocaine and capsaicin patches, ketamine and botulinum toxin A.
 - \geq 21 prescription for a medication recommended for non-NP (Rx_{Non-NP}), but no Rx_{NP} prescription:
 - Weak opioids, non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, rubefacients, antimigraine, paracetamol, nefopam, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and combinations (e.g. co-codamol).

Predictors

 Table 1 – Candidate predictors

Group	Characteristics
Demographics	Age, sex, deprivation, ethnicity
Lifestyle	Smoking status, alcohol consumption
Clinical	Body mass index
Psychological	Depression, anxiety, sleep disturbance, childhood trauma, personality
Pain-related	Duration, location, severity, worrying

Statistical Analysis

- Baseline characteristics described using percentages or median and interquartile range.
- Chi-square or Mann-Whitney test used to determine significant differences between Rx_{NP} and Rx_{Non-NP}.
- Multiple imputation used to replace missing data (variables missing < 30%).
 - Number of datasets equal to percentage of missing data.
 - Predictive mean matching.
- Significant factors from univariate analysis (P<0.05), entered into pooled multiple logistic regression analysis.

Table 2 – Baseline demographics of study participants			
Characteristic	n=859		
Age, years Median (interquartile range) Range	59 (14) 24-94		
Sex, n (%) Male Female	278 (32.4) 581 (67.6)		
Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation, n (%) (most deprived) 3 4 5 (least deprived) 	131 (16.1) 134 (16.4) 124 (15.2) 212 (26.0) 214 (26.3)		
Ethnicity, n (%) Caucasian Non-Caucasian	829 (96.5) 30 (3.5)		

Table 3 – Prevalence of study outcomes

Rx, No

AEs, Antiepileptics; NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SNRI, Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCAs, tricyclic antidepressants.

Results

Analgesic Outcome	N, (%)
(_{NP}	187 (21.8)
(_{Non-NP}	138 (16.1)
o recommended analgesic	534 (62.2)

Figure 1. Prevalence of analgesic prescribing in neuropathic pain Antimigraine 2.1% SNRIs 3.1% Rubefacients Weak Opioids 5.1% SSRIs No Rx Strong Opioids Rx_{NP} ₁ ■ Rx_{Non-NP} AEs TCAs Combination Nefopam and/or Paracetamol **NSAIDs** 19.9% 62.2% None Percentag

Pain Duratio 3-12 month 1-5 years >5 years **Pain Severity** 1 (low disab 2 (low disab 3 (high disal 4 (high disal **Any Hip Pain** Any Leg or Ki Any Widespr Health-Relate **Currently dri** Depression (**Sleep Disturk Pain-Related**

Discussion

Conclusions

- period.

Relevance for Patient Care



Table 4 – Factors associated with being prescribed a medication recommended for neuropathic pain (multivariate analysis)

Variable	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
n		
าร	-	-
	0.60 (0.28-1.29)	0.19
	1.17 (0.56-2.42)	0.68
y (Chronic Pain Grade) oility, low intensity)	_	_
oility, high intensity)	1.03 (0.52-2.02)	0.94
bility, moderate intensity)	1.42 (0.59-3.44)	0.43
bility severely limiting)	1.86 (0.72-4.82)	0.20
ו	<u>1.83 (1.05-3.19)</u>	<u>0.03</u>
nee Pain	0.99 (0.56-1.75)	0.97
read Pain	0.93 (0.48-1.81)	0.83
ed Quality of Life (EQ5D)	0.06 (0.01-0.35)	<0.01
ink alcohol	0.59 (0.31-1.13)	0.11
PROMIS T-score)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.97
bance (PROMIS T-score)	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.92
l Worrying (PCS)	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.85

CI, Confidence interval; OR, Odds ratio;

 The majority of people with chronic NP were not prescribed any recommended analgesic.

These people may have received another treatment or been prescribed an analgesic prior to the study

• Those most likely to receive a recommended NP medication were those with the poorest healthrelated quality of life.

• Further work is needed to understand the reasons for the disparity between clinical guidelines and prescribing behaviour. These are likely to involve clinician factors as well as patient factors.